

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tetrahedron Letters 47 (2006) 6067-6070

Tetrahedron Letters

Lewis acid-catalysed isomerisation of thionolactones to thiolactones: inversion of configuration

Jean-Jacques Filippi, Xavier Fernandez and Elisabet Duñach*

Laboratoire de Chimie des Molécules Bioactives et des Arômes, UMR CNRS 6001, Faculté des Sciences, Université de Nice-Sophia Antipolis, Parc Valrose, 06108 NICE Cedex 2, France

Received 2 May 2006; revised 19 June 2006; accepted 20 June 2006

Abstract—Boron trifluoride and indium(III) trifluoromethanesulfonate were found to efficiently catalyse the isomerisation of thionolactones to thiolactones in good yields. When applied to an optically active γ -thionolactone, the isomerisation reaction proceeded with a complete inversion of configuration by using BF₃·Et₂O. © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Volatile organosulfur compounds are among the most powerful compounds in flavour and fragrance chemistry.¹ Owing to their strong organoleptic properties including low odour thresholds and remarkable olfactory notes, they have induced an intense research in analytical chemistry as well as in synthetic organic chemistry. In the last few years, some thiolactones have been shown to provide interesting tropical and fruity notes as compared to the corresponding lactones.² Moreover, thiolactones as well as other sulfur–lactone analogues were studied for their biological activities, including convulsive or anticonvulsive properties.³

Thiolactones are generally obtained from the corresponding lactones with sulfur nucleophiles (thiourea, benzyl mercaptan or potassium thioacetate), whose reactivity depends on the lactone substitution pattern.⁴ Thiolactones have also been prepared from sulfur-containing heterocycles: for example, opening of episulfides,⁵ carbon monoxide insertion into a thietane ring⁶ or sulfuration of acyl chloride derivatives with a tetrathiomolybdate complex.⁷

In the frame of our interest in the chemistry of flavouring lactones and thionolactones,^{4c,d} we report here on a novel thiono- to thiolactone transformation. We found that in the presence of Lewis acids, thionolactones were involved in an isomerisation reaction leading to the formation of the corresponding thiolactones. We first considered the isomerisation of racemic γ -thionodecalactone **1a** to γ -thiodecalactone **2a** in the presence of several Lewis acids. The reactions were performed in refluxing anhydrous toluene with a 0.2–0.5 M thionolactone concentration and a 2–10% molar ratio of catalyst. Four Lewis acids were tested: aluminium(III) triflate, indium(III) triflate, bismuth(III) chloride and boron trifluoride etherate and the results are summarised in Table 1.

When γ -thionodecalactone **1a** was reacted with Al(OTf)₃ (Table 1, entry 1), the complete isomerisation to thiolactone 2a occurred in 5 h. In addition to 2a, obtained with 36% selectivity, both lactone 3a and dithiolactone 4a were obtained in 56% and 8% yields, respectively. The presence of 3a and 4a seemed to indicate the possibility of a bimolecular mechanism of isomerisation of 1a. Moreover, the higher yield of lactone 3a as compared to that of dithiolactone 4a was attributed to the presence of some residual water in the commercially available Al(OTf)₃ catalyst, as it was further checked by IR. Similar results were obtained with In-(OTf)₃ (entry 2). Since commercially available triflates were partially hydrated and their use generally led to the formation of important amounts of lactone by-product, we used indium triflate in only 2 mol % (entry 3). Indeed, the rate of the reaction was slowed down, but the selectivity in γ -thiodecalactone **2a** was increased to 73%. As compared with Al^{III} or In^{III} triflates, the use of BiCl₃ (entry 4) led to low conversion and low selectivity. With BF_3 ·Et₂O as the catalyst under anhydrous conditions, the isomerisation selectivity towards 2a reached 82% (entry 5).

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +33492076142; fax: +33492076125; e-mail: dunach@unice.fr

^{0040-4039/\$ -} see front matter © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2006.06.104

	S R = hexvl	Lewis acid toluene reflux 2a	+ 0 0 R + S	S R			
Entry	Lewis acid (mol %) Conversion (%)		Time (h)		Selectivity (%) ^b		
				2a	3a	4a	
1	$Al(OTf)_{3}$ (10)	100	5	36	56	8	
2	$In(OTf)_3$ (10)	100	4	39	55	6	
3	$In(OTf)_3(2)$	98	18	73	22	5	
4	$BiCl_3$ (10)	21	3	53	46	1	
5	$BF_3 \cdot Et_2O(10)$	98	24	82	12	6	

Table 1. Isomerisation of γ -thionodecalactone 1a with different Lewis acids^a

^a All reactions were conducted in anhydrous toluene, under an inert atmosphere at 110 °C; the substrate consumption was followed by GC analysis. ^b The selectivity was calculated by GC and/or by NMR analysis.

Table 2. Isomerisation of thionolactones with BF3 $Et_2O (10 \text{ mol } \%)^a$



^a For reaction conditions, see footnotes of Table 1. For experimental details on thiolactones, see Ref. 9.

^b The yield was of 33% on the converted compound.

^c Enantiomeric excess determined on a Hydrodex β -6tBDM capillary column (Macherey-Nagel, Germany) operated with a 2 °C/min rate starting from 80 °C (helium flow: 1.2 mL/min). The elution order of γ -thiolactone enantiomers has been previously described on the same chiral stationary phase.^{2c}

 BF_3 · Et_2O was thus chosen as the best catalyst and this new thiono- to thiolactone transformation was extended to the isomerisation of a series of thionolactones, including five- and six-membered-ring compounds. The results are presented in Table 2.
 S
 BF3.Et2O (10 mol%)

 toluene, reflux
 0

 (R)-1a
 (S)-2a, 97% ee

 98% ee
 70% isolated yield

Scheme 1. Enantioselective isomerisation of (R)- γ -thionodecalactone.

The BF₃·Et₂O-catalysed isomerisation reactions of 2ethyl- and 2-phenyl-substituted thionolactones **1b** and **1c** led to the corresponding thiolactones **2b** and **2c** in excellent selectivities and in isolated yields of 65 and 88%, respectively (entries 2, 3). The isomerisation of

the δ -thionolactone **1d** also afforded the expected δ -thiolactone **2d** though with moderate selectivity, due to the formation of lactone **3d** in 63% selectivity (entry 4).



Scheme 2. Proposed mechanism for the isomerisation of γ -thionolactones.

When the *cis*-thionolactone derivative **1e** was submitted to isomerisation (entry 5), a clean reaction took place with the formation of *trans*-thiolactone **2e** in 93% selectivity. No *cis*-**2e** was observed. Interestingly, the isomeric *trans*-thionolactone **1f** (entry 6) underwent a slow isomerisation to *cis*-thiolactone **2f**, though with a selectivity of 50% (due to the presence of lactone **3f** in 41% selectivity). Compound **2f** was exclusively formed as the *cis* isomer. These results are in agreement with a previous study by Schmarr et al. dealing with P₄S₁₀ sulfurisation of *cis*- and *trans*-whisky lactone diastereomers, in which an inversion of configuration was observed upon thiolactone formation.^{2b}

This unexpected high isomerisation stereospecificity, with inversion of configuration at the α position to oxygen in starting 1 (and α to sulfur in compounds 2) prompted us to further examine the possibility of an enantioselective isomerisation process.

When applied to the optically active (R)- γ -thionodecalactone, (R)-**1a** (98% ee, entry 7), the isomerisation reaction carried out with BF₃·Et₂O (10 mol %) led to the formation of (S)- γ -thiodecalactone **2a** with a total inversion of configuration at C-5 (97% ee) (Scheme 1). Chiral GC was used for ee analysis; the elution of (R)and (S)-2a have already been reported on the same column.^{2c} Additionally, it was checked that no racemisation occurred once (S)-**2a** was formed, when running the reaction of (R)-**1a** with BF₃·Et₂O for more than four days.

The complete inversion of configuration observed for (R)-1a tends to exclude the possibility of a classical cationic-type mechanism to explain the results of the isomerisation.⁸

The results seem to indicate an SN_2 -type mechanism involving at least two molecules of thionolactone. The possibility to consider the formation of several dimer intermediates can be considered. For example, an ylide intermediate of type **5**, followed by the formation of dimer **6**, as presented in Scheme 2, may explain the observed enantioselectivity.

In order to confirm the possibility of a bimolecular mechanism, the isomerisation of (*R*)-1a was performed at a 10-fold lower concentration (0.05 M). As expected, (*S*)-2a was obtained with a complete inversion of configuration (ee = 97%), but the kinetics of the process were

highly slowed down and only 11% of the starting thionolactone was converted after 10 h of reaction.

When $In(OTf)_3$ was used to perform the reaction with (R)-1a (ee = 98%), (S)-2a was obtained in 66% yield after 24 h, with a 87% enantiomeric excess, indicating that a minor pathway of SN_1 -type process could occur with this catalytic system during the isomerisation process. These results indicate that the nature of the Lewis acid strongly influences the isomerisation mechanism.

In conclusion, we describe here a novel boron trifluoride-catalysed thiono- to thiolactone isomerisation, which resulted to be highly regio- and stereoselective.

Acknowledgements

is made to the French Ministry of Education for a doctoral scholarship to J.J.F. and to Pr. Dr. U. J. Meierhenrich for his advice on chiral separations.

References and notes

- For reviews on volatile organosulfur compounds see: (a) Boelens, M.; Van Gemert, L. *Perfum. Flavor.* **1993**, *18*, 29– 39; (b) Goeke, A. *Sulfur Rep.* **2002**, *23*, 243–278; (c) Jameson, S. B. In *Chemistry and Technology of Flavors and Fragrances*; Rowe, D. J., Ed.; Blackwell Publishing: Oxford, 2005; p 116.
- (a) Roling, I.; Schmarr, H. G.; Eisenreich, W.; Engel, K. H. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 1998, 46, 668–672; (b) Schmarr, H. G.; Engel, K. H.; Eisenreich, W. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2001, 49, 5923–5928; (c) Schellenberg, A.; Schmarr, H.-G.; Eisenreich, W.; Engel, K.-H. In Frontiers of Flavour Science; Schieberle, P.; Engel, K.-H. Eds., Deutsche Forschungsanstalt für Lebensmittelchemie, Garching, Germany, 2000.
- Levine, J. A.; Ferrendelli, J. A.; Covey, D. F. J. Med. Chem. 1986, 29, 1996–1999.
- (a) Scheibye, S.; Kristensen, J.; Lawesson, S. O. *Tetrahedron* 1979, *35*, 1339–1343; (b) Curphey, T. J. J. Org. Chem. 2002, *67*, 6461–6473; (c) Filippi, J.-J.; Fernandez, X.; Lizzani-Cuvelier, L.; Loiseau, A.-M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003, *44*, 6647–6650; (d) Filippi, J.-J.; Fernandez, X.; Lizzani-Cuvelier, L.; Loiseau, A.-M. *Flavour Fragr. J.* 2006, *21*, 175–184.
- 5. Taguchi, Y.; Suhara, Y. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1986, 59, 2321–2324.
- Furuya, M.; Tsutuminai, S.; Nagasawa, H.; Komine, N.; Hirano, M.; Komiya, S. Chem. Commun. 2003, 2046–2047.

- 7. Bhar, D.; Chandrasekaran, S. *Tetrahedron* **1997**, *53*, 11835–11842.
- 8. Olah, G. A.; Prakash, G. K. S. *Carbocation Chemistry*; J. Wiley & Sons, Inc.: USA, 2004.
- 9. Experimental section: Thionolactones were prepared using already described procedures from commercial lactones.^{4b,c} All Lewis acids were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as supplied. Enantioselective analyses were performed using an Agilent 6890 gas chromatograph coupled to a 5973A mass selective detector, stationary phase: Hydrodex β-6tBDM (heptakis-(2,3-*O*-dimethyl-6-*O-tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)-β-cyclodextrin) (Macherey-Nagel, Germany). Temperature program: 80–220 °C at 2 °C/min. The elution order of γ-thiolactone enantiomers has been previously reported on the same chiral stationary phase.^{2c}

In a typical procedure, a solution of γ -thionodecalactone 1 (5.0 mmol) and boron trifluoride etherate (0.5 mmol, 0.06 mL) in 10 mL of anhydrous toluene was refluxed under nitrogen atmosphere. Consumption of starting material 1 was followed by GC analysis. The final reaction mixture was washed with 0.1 M NaHCO₃, brine then dried over magnesium sulfate. Thiodecalactones 2 were purified by silica-gel column chromatography with petroleum ether/diethyl ether mixtures as the eluents. All compounds have been previously described: 1,^{4c,d} 2a,b,d-f,^{2a,b} 2c,^{4c,10} and 2e.^{2b,11}

- 10. Rioult, P.; Vialle, J. Bull. Chim. Soc. Fr. 1965, 11, 3312-3315.
- 11. Takahisa, E.; Engel, K.-H. J. Chromatogr. 2005, 1063, 181–192.